

Effect (3)

345 /04

PETITIONER

: Ravishankar Namdeo S/o Shri T. P.
Namdeo aged 28 year
Village & Post - Barela (Takhatpur)
Tahsil - Mungeli
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through : It's Secretary
Panchayat & Rural Development
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : It's Chief Executive
Officer
O/o Distt. Panchayat Sarguja
Distt. Ambikapur (C.G.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : It's Commissioner
S.C., S.T. Development
Department, Raipur (C.G.)

P. A. No. 338104
 Registered by SHI Wmed & Voss
 dated 27.04.04

RECEIVED - COPY
CLERK TO A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER :-

As mentioned in the cause title above,

2. PARTICULARS OF RESPONDENTS :-

As mentioned in the cause title above,



P.T.O.

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No 345/04

समस्या क्रमांक

सा 200

विषय

आदेश में दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक दर्शाए	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कारवाही के आदेशों में दिनांक नमूदा के अतिरिक्त आदेश
	13.02.2004 Shri Vinod Deshmukh, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh Marhas, Govt. Advocate for the State. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the order-dated 13.01.2004 (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.4 whereby the appointment of the petitioner on the post of Contract Teacher Grade-1 has been cancelled. The petitioner's petition is that the respondent No2 published an advertisement in the newspaper Nav-bharat on 17.09.2003 for filling up the vacancy of the Contract Teacher Grade-1. The petitioner has applied for the same and after receiving the application, the petitioner was called for the interview and he was selected on 09.1.2004 on the post of Contract Teacher, Grade-I for subject of Mathematics at Higher Secondary School, Kusamu, Distt. Surguja. The petitioner joined his duties on 19.01.2004. But all of a sudden the respondent No.2 without giving any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner cancelled the appointment order vide impugned order dated 13.01.2004. However, before this order could be communicated to the school at which the petitioner was posted, the petitioner had joined his duties. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent No.2 has passed the said order without giving any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is	

उच्च न्यायालय, उत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No 345/04

भाषा संज्ञा

सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश इस्ताफा सहित - 02 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में हिस्ट्री रजिस्ट्रार के अभियोग आदेश
	allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2, raising all his grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 after considering all his grievances raised by the petitioners shall decide the representation within a period of another 30 days from the date of the receipt of the same in an objective manner in accordance with the law. In the meantime, if the petitioner is already working on the post then he should not be removed from the said post till the representation is decided. With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No 303/2004 and I.A. No.800/04 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge

[चौले दस्तखत]

Kachan
Pulam

W.P. No 345/04